1	Corey Page (Cal. Bar No. 218789) cpage@evansandpage.com		
2	Geneva Page (Cal. Bar No. 235633)		
3	gpage@evansandpage.com EVANS & PAGE 2912 Diamond Street #346		
4	San Francisco CA 94131 Ph: (415) 896-5072		
5	Fax: (415) 358-5855		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		C N 221 02000	
11	PHYSICIANS COMMITTEE FOR RESPONSIBLE MEDICINE,	Case No. 3:21-cv-03088	
12	a nonprofit membership organization,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY	
13	and	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
14	SETH AMMERMAN, MD, an individual,		
15	DONALD FORRESTER, MD,		
16	an individual,		
17	HEATHER SHENKMAN, MD, an individual,		
18	Plaintiffs		
19	V.		
20	TOM VILSACK, Secretary,		
21	United States Department of Agriculture		
22	and		
23	XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			

EVANS & PAGE SAN FRANCISCO

A. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action under the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706, against the Secretary of the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") and the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"). Defendants have jointly published new Dietary Guidelines for Americans every five years since 1980. Pursuant to the National Nutrition Monitoring and Related Research Act, this document must include "nutritional and dietary information and guidelines for the general public" based on "the preponderance of the scientific and medical knowledge which is current at the time the report is prepared." 7 U.S.C. § 5341(a).
- 2. In December 2020, Defendants jointly issued the newest edition of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. USDA & HHS, DIETARY GUIDELINES FOR AMERICANS, 2020-2025 (2020) (hereinafter Dietary Guidelines), https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2020-12/Dietary_Guidelines_for_Americans_2020-2025.pdf. According to the report, "diet-related chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, obesity, and some types of cancer, are very prevalent among Americans and pose a major public health problem. Today, more than half of adults have one or more diet-related chronic diseases." *Id.* at p. viii. Yet due to their conflicts of interest, Defendants intentionally use inconsistent, misleading language and biochemical terminology to frustrate the purpose of the report and to avoid providing sound and accessible dietary information and guidance on how to overcome and reverse these chronic diseases. As a result, the Dietary Guidelines fail to reflect current "scientific and medical knowledge."

 Defendants' failure to comply with statutory mandates is arbitrary and capricious, *inter alia*, in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

B. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706, Plaintiffs have exhausted all administrative remedies and now seek judicial review. This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 706. This court also has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1361. Venue lies in this district under 5 U.S.C. § 703.

C. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine ("Physicians Committee") is a nonprofit public health organization that advocates for preventive medicine through proper nutrition, encourages higher standards for ethics and effectiveness in medical research, and conducts clinical research on the relationships between food and disease. Established in 1985, the Physicians Committee is a national organization representing more than 175,000 members, including 17,000 physicians, as well as other medical professionals, scientists, and lay persons. More than 25,000 of these members reside in California. The organization brings this action on behalf of its members and on behalf of itself.
- 5. Physicians Committee members who are laypersons are harmed by Defendants' adoption of the unlawfully developed Dietary Guidelines because these members are misled as to the harmful effects of consuming meat and dairy, which in turn has a direct negative bearing on their health and their families' health.
- 6. Physicians Committee members who are physicians are harmed by Defendants' adoption of the unlawfully developed Dietary Guidelines because the Dietary Guidelines interfere with patient education and undermine patient care. This is particularly true for Physicians Committee member physicians who work in facilities, such as hospitals, that are required to serve meals that are based on the Dietary Guidelines. California Code of Regulations ("C.C.R.") tit. 15, § 1241. Such member physicians are constrained by the Dietary Guidelines when they prescribe meal plans for, and provide nutrition advice to, their patients. In these ways, the Dietary Guidelines impair the physicians' relationships with their patients, making it more difficult for the physicians to accomplish their professional objectives of keeping their patients healthy.
- 7. The Dietary Guidelines also harm the Physicians Committee by compelling the organization to expend scarce resources to accomplish Defendants' statutorily mandated duty to inform Americans of dietary steps to protect their health. By neglecting their duty, Defendants have compelled the Physicians Committee to expend resources to counter misinformation regarding healthy diets. One of the Physicians Committee's principal organizational objectives, to which it has devoted extensive time and resources, is to publicize to its members and the public the health

benefits of consuming plant-based foods and avoiding meat and dairy products. The Dietary Guidelines seriously impair the work of the Physicians Committee and render it necessary for the Physicians Committee to expend substantial time and resources that normally would be devoted to other organizational initiatives to inform the public that the Dietary Guidelines reflect the economic interests of the meat and dairy industries rather than sound public health advice.

- 8. Plaintiff Seth Ammerman, MD, has been a citizen and resident of San Francisco County, California, since 1985. Dr. Ammerman is a member of the Physicians Committee and is board-certified in pediatrics and adolescent medicine. Dr. Ammerman has more than 35 years of clinical experience. Dr. Ammerman's pediatric and adolescent medicine practices focus on prevention and early intervention through the promotion of healthy lifestyle behaviors. His patients are adolescents making lifelong decisions about their health practices and underserved populations with higher rates of obesity and related chronic health issues; these patients need straightforward recommendations based on the best evidence. As a physician, Dr. Ammerman is harmed by the Dietary Guidelines because the Dietary Guidelines impair the health of these patients, thereby making it more difficult for Dr. Ammerman to accomplish his professional objectives of keeping his patients healthy. Dr. Ammerman also faces the dilemma of having to make medical recommendations that are contrary to the Dietary Guidelines, as well as exposure to liability based on any alleged "reasonableness" standard derived from the Dietary Guidelines.
- 9. Plaintiff Donald Forrester, MD, has been a citizen and resident of Sacramento County, California, since 1975. Dr. Forrester is a member of Physicians Committee and was board-certified in family medicine from 1978 to 2018. Dr. Forrester has 40 years of clinical experience and teaches and presents on the prevention and reversal of chronic conditions. In these roles, Dr. Forrester understands that more than 70 percent of the nation's medical costs are due to chronic conditions that result from poor food choices. Dr. Forrester is harmed by the Dietary Guidelines because Defendants' failure to provide guidelines "based on the preponderance of the scientific and medical knowledge" contributes to chronic conditions that could have been prevented, resulting in avoidable suffering for his patients. Dr. Forrester provides lectures and interviews on health and

nutrition, and this work is made more difficult when the Dietary Guidelines contradict sound scientific information and are contrary to his science-based nutrition teachings.

3

10.

Angeles County, California, since 2007. Dr. Shenkman is a member of the Physicians Committee

Plaintiff Heather Shenkman, MD, has been a citizen and resident of Los Angeles in Los

5

6

4

experience. Dr. Shenkman's practice focuses on the prevention and improvement of heart disease

and board-certified in general and interventional cardiology with more than 13 years of clinical

7

with a focus on lifestyle interventions. As a physician, Dr. Shenkman is harmed by the Dietary

8

Guidelines because the Dietary Guidelines impair the health of Dr. Shenkman's patients, thereby making it more difficult for Dr. Shenkman to accomplish her professional objectives of keeping her

10

patients healthy. Dr. Shenkman also faces the dilemma of having to make medical

11

- recommendations that are contrary to the Dietary Guidelines, as well as exposure to liability based
- 12 on any alleged "reasonableness" standard derived from the Dietary Guidelines.

13

15

17

- 13 11. Defendants are Secretary Tom Vilsack of USDA and Secretary Xavier Becerra of HHS.
- 14 USDA and HHS are United States agencies within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1). USDA
 - regulates matters concerning agriculture, and HHS regulates matters regarding human health in the
- 16 United States.

D. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

- 18 12. At least once every five years, Defendants "shall publish a report entitled Dietary
- 19 Guidelines for Americans." 7 U.S.C. § 5341(a)(1) (internal quotations removed).
- 20 | 13. "Each such report shall contain nutritional and dietary information and guidelines for the
- 21 general public" that are "based on the preponderance of the scientific and medical knowledge
- 22 which is current at the time the report is prepared." $Id. \S 5341(a)(1)$ –(2).
- 23 | 14. By statute, the Dietary Guidelines "shall be promoted by each Federal agency in carrying
- out any Federal food, nutrition, or health program." *Id.* § 5341(a)(1).
- 25 | 15. "Any Federal agency that proposes to issue any dietary guidance for the general population
- or identified population subgroups shall submit the text of such guidance" to Defendants, who then
- 27 "shall review and approve or disapprove such guidance to assure that the guidance either is

Case 3:21-cv-03088 Document 1 Filed 04/28/21 Page 6 of 17

- consistent with the 'Dietary Guidelines for Americans' or that the guidance is based on medical or new scientific knowledge which is determined to be valid by the Secretaries." *Id.* § 5341(b)(1)–(2).
 - 16. Under this framework, the "U.S. Government uses the Dietary Guidelines as the basis of its food assistance meal programs, nutrition education efforts, and decisions about national health objectives." Dietary Guidelines at p. 12. Defendants also may block other agencies from issuing dietary guidance that Defendants view as inconsistent with the Dietary Guidelines.
- 7 | 17. For example, food served in schools and child care facilities under USDA's child nutrition programs must "reflect the most recent," and be "consistent with the goals of the most recent,"
- 9 Dietary Guidelines. 42 U.S.C. §§ 1758(a)(4)(C)(i), (f)(1)(A), 1766(g)(2)(B)(i)(I), (u)(3)(C)(iv)(I), 10 1779(b)(1)(C)(i).
- 12 Guidelines in menu planning; the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and

Similarly, "the Older Americans Act Nutrition Program incorporate[s] the *Dietary*

- 13 Children applies the *Dietary Guidelines* in its program and educational materials; and the Healthy
- People objectives for the Nation include objectives based on the *Dietary Guidelines*." Dietary
- 15 Guidelines at p. 12.

3

4

5

6

11

18.

- 16 | 19. Federal nutrition programs require adherence to the Dietary Guidelines as a condition of
- 17 receiving grant funds. E.g., 7 U.S.C. § 2036a(b); 42 U.S.C. § 3030g-21(2)(A)(i) (mandating that
- 18 State nutrition programs for older Americans "shall . . . comply with the most recent Dietary
- 19 Guidelines for Americans").
- 20 20. "The Dietary Guidelines also provides a critical structure for State and local public health
- 21 promotion and disease prevention initiatives." *Id.*; *e.g.*, CAL. EDUC. CODE § 51210.4(c); CAL.
- 22 | HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 1596.808(d), 1596.8661(c).
- 23 21. The Dietary Guidelines have been incorporated into regulations in the vast majority of
- states, forming the basis of the mandatory minimum nutritional requirements for assisted living
- facilities, children's residential facilities, hospitals, and recreational camps throughout the country.
- 26 E.g., C.C.R. tit. 15, §§ 1241, 1461; C.C.R. tit. 22, § 7638.5(a)(2).
- 27 The Dietary Guidelines also provide "foundational, evidence-based nutrition guidance for
 - use by individuals and those who serve them in public and private settings, including health

professionals, public health and social service agencies, health care and educational institutions, researchers, agricultural producers, food and beverage manufacturers, and more." Dietary

3

4

5

STATEMENT OF FACTS <u>E.</u>

Guidelines at p. 12.

- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28.
- The Committee found that "[c]ommon characteristics of dietary patterns associated with positive health outcomes include higher intake of vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, low- or

- 23. In developing the current Dietary Guidelines, Defendants restricted the usual broad scope of the report by "add[ing] a new step of identifying topics and scientific questions to begin the process of developing the next Dietary Guidelines." Id. at p. 8. Defendants "identified potential topics and supporting scientific questions that were of greatest importance and relevance to Federal nutrition programs, policies, and consumer education priorities." *Id.*
- 24. After receiving and reviewing public comments, Defendants "posted the final topics and guestions, ... defining which areas of nutrition expertise were needed on the Committee." *Id.*
- Defendants then appointed a federal advisory committee "with the single, time-limited task 25. of reviewing the 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines, examining the evidence on the selected nutrition and public health topics and scientific questions, and providing independent, science-based advice and recommendations to USDA and HHS. The 20 nationally recognized scientific experts appointed to the Committee represented a mix of practitioners, epidemiologists, scientists, clinical trialists, and others from every region of the United States." Id.
- 26. The "Committee worked collaboratively for 16 months and deliberated on the scientific reviews in six meetings, all of which were open to the public. Two of the six meetings included an opportunity for the public to provide oral comments to the Committee. An ongoing period for written public comments to the Committee spanned 15 months of its work." *Id.* at p. 11.
- 27. "The Committee's work culminated in a comprehensive scientific report on the current state of nutrition science and provided advice to the Departments for . . . development of this 9th edition of the Dietary Guidelines." Id. at p. v. "This report was not a draft of the Dietary Guidelines; it was a scientific document that detailed the Committee's evidence review and provided advice to USDA and HHS." *Id.* at p. 8 (footnote omitted).

nonfat dairy, lean meat and poultry, seafood, nuts, and unsaturated vegetable oils and low consumption of red and processed meats, sugar-sweetened foods and drinks, and refined grains. In addition, the Committee found that negative (detrimental) health outcomes were associated with dietary patterns characterized by higher intake of red and processed meats, sugar-sweetened foods and beverages, and refined grains." Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee, Scientific Report of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee pt. A, at pp. 8–9 (2020) (hereinafter "DGAC Report"), https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/ScientificReport_of_the_ 2020DietaryGuidelinesAdvisoryCommittee_first-print.pdf.

- 29. "The Committee's review found that reducing saturated fat intake by replacing it with unsaturated fats, particularly polyunsaturated fat, lowers the incidence of CVD [cardiovascular disease] in adults. . . . The recommended shift from saturated to unsaturated fats occurs best within the context of a healthy dietary pattern consisting of higher intakes of vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, nuts and seeds, with some vegetable oils, low-fat dairy, lean meat and poultry, and fatty fish and lower intakes of red and processed meats, sugar-sweetened foods and drinks, and refined grains." *Id.* at pt. A, at p. 9.
- 30. "To reduce saturated fat intake, the dietary pattern should replace sources of saturated fat with sources of polyunsaturated fats by substituting certain animal-source foods, especially processed meats and certain high-fat dairy products, with sources of polyunsaturated fats, such as seafood, seeds, nuts, legumes, and appropriate vegetable oils." *Id.* at pt. B, ch. 2, at p. 9.
- 31. Defendants "posted the scientific report and asked the public for comments. The Departments received written comments on the report over a 30-day period and also held an online meeting to hear oral comments." Dietary Guidelines at p. 11.
- 32. Following the public comment period, Defendants' "development of the 2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines involved a step-by-step process of writing, review, and revision conducted by a writing team of Federal staff from USDA and HHS. The writing team included Federal nutrition scientists with expertise in the Dietary Guidelines and related research and programs as well as specialists with expertise in communicating nutrition information." *Id.* at pp. 11–12.

1
2
3
4
5

33. On December 29, 2020, Defendants jointly issued the most recent edition of the Dietary Guidelines.

The Dietary Guidelines Fail to Include

"Nutritional and Dietary Information and Guidelines for the General Public"

- 34. Despite the statutory mandate to provide "nutritional and dietary information and guidelines for the general public," 7 U.S.C. § 5341(a)(1), the new edition states, "The Dietary Guidelines for Americans is developed and written for a professional audience." Dietary Guidelines at p. 13.
- 35. Of the 149 pages of substantive content, the Dietary Guidelines devote only one to "actionable consumer messages and resources" for "individuals, families, and communities." See id.
- 36. When describing foods to avoid for optimal health, the Dietary Guidelines use technical terms instead of commonplace terms for the foods themselves. The Dietary Guidelines use the term "saturated fat" 158 times, including on the "actionable consumer messages and resources" page, see id., without clearly explaining how to identify its sources.
- 37. The Dietary Guidelines hide the fact that the leading sources of saturated fat are dairy products and meat and go so far as to suggest that grains are somehow major sources of saturated fat: "The top sources of saturated fat for adults are sandwiches (e.g., deli sandwiches, burgers, tacos, burritos, grilled cheese, hot dogs) and other grain-based mixed dishes (e.g. spaghetti and meatballs, casseroles, quesadillas) that typically contain ingredients from several food groups that are not in nutrient-dense forms, including grains, protein foods, and dairy." Id. at p. 102; see id. at p. 45 fig.1-11.
- 38. Such text is misleading because grains have virtually no saturated fat. See, e.g., id. at p. 45 ("Grain-based foods in nutrient-dense forms limit the additions of added sugars, saturated fat, and sodium."). Rather, dairy, meat, poultry, and eggs are high in saturated fat whether they are included in sandwiches or eaten on their own. See id. at pp. 44, 45 fig.1-11; DGAC Report pt. D, ch.9, at p. 2.
- 39. Equally misleading is the image that takes up nearly half of the page that starts the "Saturated Fat" subsection. The image primarily features avocados, nuts, and seeds, even though

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

not one of these foods is a top source of saturated fat, as demonstrated in the figure on the very next page. Dietary Guidelines at pp. 44, 45 fig.1-11. In an accurate image, dairy and meat would instead be featured, consistent with the statement that "[s]aturated fat is commonly found in higher amounts in high-fat meat, full-fat dairy products (e.g., whole milk, ice cream, cheese), butter," and oils. *Id.* at p. 44. Although the Guidelines correctly note that saturated fat poses health risks, these choices of wording and images deliberately hide its major sources from the public.

The Dietary Guidelines Do Not Reflect the

"Preponderance of the Scientific and Medical Knowledge Which is Current"

- 40. The Dietary Guidelines provide a particularly harmful definition of "nutrient dense": "Vegetables, fruits, whole grains, seafood, eggs, beans, peas, and lentils, unsalted nuts and seeds, fat-free and low-fat dairy products, and lean meats and poultry—when prepared with no or little added sugars, saturated fat, and sodium—are nutrient-dense foods." *Id.* at pp. x, 73, 95, 110, 123.
- 41. Based on this definition, the Dietary Guidelines deem vegetable oil a nutrient-dense food but regular canned black beans, which contain added salt, not. Dietary Guidelines at p. 22 fig.1-2. This is not supported by science. Moreover, as noted above, dairy, meat, poultry (including so-called "lean" varieties), and eggs are high in saturated fat, which the Dietary Guidelines warn against.
- 42. The figures in the Dietary Guidelines repeatedly demonstrate that children consume too much saturated fat and sodium. *Id.* at pp. 77 fig.3-2, 79 fig.3-4, 82 fig.3-6, 85 fig.3-8. Yet the Dietary Guidelines continue to promote meat and dairy, foods that contain them in large amounts.
- 43. For example, the "Avoid Foods Higher in Sodium" section for toddlers states, "In addition to keeping sodium intake within limits for toddlers (see *Appendix 1*), another reason to avoid high-sodium foods is that taste preferences for salty food may be established early in life." *Id.* at p. 61 (emphasis in original). Yet the recommendations for toddlers contravene this advice, stating, "For dairy, families can introduce yogurt and cheese . . . before 12 months" (*id.* at p. 60), even though dairy products are a top source of sodium in the diet. *See, e.g.,* DGAC Report pt. D, ch. 14, at p. 25.

- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

- 44. The Dietary Guidelines include "dairy (including milk, yogurt, and cheese)" in the healthy meal pattern for toddlers, even though toddlers already consume more dairy than recommended. Dietary Guidelines at pp. 63, 65 fig.2-2.
- 45. The section on beverages reiterates the push to consume milk but lacks any scientific support for this recommendation: "Beverages that are calorie-free—especially water—or that contribute beneficial nutrients, such as fat-free and low-fat milk and 100% juice, should be the primary beverages consumed." *Id.* at p. 35.
- 46. The Dietary Guidelines also incorrectly state that "[m]ost individuals would benefit by increasing intake of dairy" (id. at p. 33), even though there is no convincing evidence that this is true. Moreover, the section does not mention the well-accepted scientific evidence showing the association between dairy intake and prostate cancer, a leading cause of cancer death. World Cancer Research Fund & American Institute for Cancer Research, Continuous Update Project, Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and the Prevention of Cancer, Summary of Evidence (2018), https:// www.wcrf.org/sites/default/files/Matrix-for-all-cancers-A3.pdf.
- 47. Similarly, the Dietary Guidelines are unclear as to whether soy milk meets its dairy recommendation or may be offered only as an alternative for individuals who are lactose intolerant. See Dietary Guidelines at p. 33.
- 48. In the Dietary Guidelines' calorie level for adults table, the number of recommended servings of nearly every food group rises as the number of daily calories consumed rises. Dietary Guidelines at pp. 96 tbl.4-1, 125 tbl.6-1. The dairy group is the sole exception, however; the table recommends the same number of dairy servings regardless how low an adult's calorie intake drops. *Id.* This defies logic and suggests that the Dietary Guidelines' dairy recommendation are based on something other than "scientific and medical knowledge"—namely the economic interests described below.
- 49. Defendant Becerra oversees HHS, of which the National Institutes of Health ("NIH") is a component agency. Through NIH, Defendant Becerra issues publications regarding lactose intolerance, which is the inability to digest lactose, the primary carbohydrate in dairy.

51.

50. Once regarded as a disease, lactose intolerance is now recognized as the biological norm after infancy for all mammals, including humans. NIH, NIH Consensus Development Conference: Lactose Intolerance and Health 30 (2010) (hereinafter "NIH Consensus Report"), https://consensus.nih.gov/2010/images/lactose/lactose_abstracts.pdf. For lactose intolerant individuals, consuming dairy causes diarrhea, pain, and other, sometimes serious, digestive symptoms. *Id.* at pp. 35–36.

Lactose intolerance is prevalent among people of color. According to Defendant Becerra,

- "specific populations show high levels of intolerance, including approximately: 95 percent of Asians, 60 percent to 80 percent of African Americans and Ashkenazi Jews, 80 percent to 100 percent of American Indians, and 50 percent to 80 percent of Hispanics." NIH, Lactose Intolerance: Information for Health Care Providers 2 (2006) (hereinafter "NIH Fact Sheet"), https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/publications/pubs/documents/NICHD_MM_Lactose_FS_rev.pdf.
- 52. In contrast, most people of northern European descent carry a genetic mutation enabling the digestion of lactose. NIH Consensus Report at 30. "Lactose intolerance is least common among people of northern European origin, who have a lactose intolerance prevalence of only about 2 percent." NIH Fact Sheet at 2.
- 53. About 24 percent of Americans belong to populations that are frequently or predominantly lactose intolerant. *See* U.S. Census Bureau, QuickFacts: United States (2019), https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045219.
- 54. The Dietary Guidelines purport to have considered "ethnic populations who have disproportionately and/or historically been affected by diet-related disparities" and to have prioritized "scientific studies that examine the relationship between diet and health across all life stages, in men, women, and children from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds." Dietary Guidelines at p. 4.
- 55. Yet Defendants address this common and normal condition in the Dietary Guidance only once, stating "Individuals who are lactose intolerant can choose low-lactose and lactose-free dairy products." Dietary Guidelines at p. 33.

1	I
2	
3	
4	

56. Instead, and despite high saturated fat and the prostate cancer concern noted above, Defendants repeatedly recommend dairy—referencing it more than one hundred times in the Dietary Guidelines—even though they know that nearly a quarter of American adults cannot consume it.

- 57. The Dietary Guidelines recognize that healthful dietary patterns feature "relatively lower consumption of red and processed meats" and that detrimental health outcomes are associated with "higher intake of red and processed meats." *Id.* at p. 23. "Replacing processed or high-fat meats with beans, peas, and lentils would have similar benefits, as well as increasing dietary fiber, a dietary component of public health concern." *Id.* at p. 34.
- 58. Indeed, red meat, regardless of fat content, is a Group 2A carcinogen according to the World Health Organization due to its association with "cancers of the colorectum, pancreas, and prostate." International Agency for Research on Cancer, Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans Volume 114, Red Meat and Processed Meat 497 (2018), https://monographs.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono114.pdf.
- 59. Red meat consumption is associated with an increased risk of overweight, obesity, and type 2 diabetes. DGAC Report pt. D, ch. 8, at p. 39 tbl.D8.1.
 - 60. Plant protein sources are linked to lower all-cause or cardiovascular-specific mortality, and animal protein sources are linked to increased cardiovascular mortality. *Id*.
- 61. As such one might expect the Dietary Guidelines to list meat last as a "protein food" choice. Instead, the Dietary Guidelines repeatedly list meat as the first recommended protein source. *E.g.*, Dietary Guidelines at pp. 20 tbl.1-1, 29, 100 fig.4-4. Plant foods rich in protein are listed last and in the smallest quantity ("Nuts, Seeds, Soy Products") or not at all ("Beans, Peas, Lentils"), *see id.*, even though the Dietary Guidelines state, "Beans, peas, and lentils—a subgroup of both the vegetable and protein foods groups—also are underconsumed by most adults." *Id.* at p. 100.
- 62. The Dietary Guidelines also promote meat for infants and toddlers for iron (*id.* at p. 59), and zinc (*id.* at p. 60), even though other foods provide these nutrients without the health risks associated with meat.

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

20

19

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

63. The Dietary Guidelines' imagery is similarly devised to mislead the public. The figures on page 100 show that adults aged 31 through 59 consume too much from the "Meat, Poultry, Eggs" category and too little of nearly every vegetable category. See id. at p. 100 fig.4-4. Yet the only food pictured on the page is a turkey sandwich. *Id*.

The Dietary Guidelines' Flaws Arise Out of Defendants' Conflicts of Interest

- 64. The Dietary Guidelines state, "Many individuals enter the adult life stage with an unhealthy dietary pattern already established from the childhood and adolescent years. A concerted effort to change this trajectory and support adults in adopting a healthy dietary pattern is needed for better health and to promote the well-being of family and friends across life stages." *Id.* at p. 93.
- 65. This text suggests that substantive measures are needed to change adult eating behaviors established earlier in life. But the Dietary Guidelines' recommendations are modest and offer no plan for a concerted effort to change eating behaviors.
- 66. The Dietary Guidelines also claim to be "applicable to the overall U.S. population, including healthy individuals and people at risk of diet-related chronic conditions and diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and obesity." Dietary Guidelines at p. 10.
- 67. But scientific evidence shows that people with heart disease or diabetes and women previously treated for breast cancer who follow diets that are within the Dietary Guidelines tend to do poorly. Those who adopt much more plant-based diets do substantially better. See, e.g., DGAC pt. D, ch. 8, at pp. 13–14, 21, 25. The diet steps recommended in the Dietary Guidelines resemble those used in the control groups of nutrition studies—that is, those receiving no effective treatment. If clinicians were to base their medical recommendations on the Dietary Guidelines, patients with chronic conditions and diseases would be harmed.
- 68. The Dietary Guidelines are *supposed* to benefit the "general public" and not scientists, biochemists, Nobel Laureates, or others with particular expertise. See 7 U.S.C. § 5341(a)(1). Yet Defendants state that the current Dietary Guidelines are "developed and written for a professional audience." Dietary Guidelines at p. 13. Defendants intentionally use inappropriate and inconsistent language, intentionally misleading examples and illustrations, ambiguous phrases, and biochemical terminology to avoid providing clear dietary information and guidance for the general public

1	regarding the health benefits of reducing consumption of meat, dairy, and eggs, which are the only	
2	sources of cholesterol and the main sources of saturated fat in the diet. This is due to Defendants'	
3	conflicts of interest.	
4	69. Defendant Vilsack oversees USDA, which "was responsible for chartering the 2020 Dietary	
5	Committee and serving as the administrative lead for the 2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines." DGAC	
6	Report pt. C, at p. 4.	
7	70. Defendant Vilsack has a statutory duty to "foster and assist in the development of new or	
8	expanded markets (domestic and foreign) and new and expanded uses and in the moving of larger	
9	quantities of agricultural products through the private marketing system to consumers in the United	
10	States and abroad." 7 U.S.C. § 1622(e)(1). These "agricultural products" include "dairy products,	
11	livestock and poultry, bees, forest products, fish and shellfish, and any products thereof, including	
12	processed and manufactured products[.]" Id. § 1626.	
13	71. Conflicts of interest such as this prevent Defendants from making unbiased decisions based	
14	on scientific and medical knowledge.	
15	72. By carefully, intentionally, and repeatedly using artful language in crucial aspects of the	
16	Dietary Guidelines, Defendants have deceived the general public and compromised consumer	
17	health in favor of promoting specific food products despite their statutory mandate to base the	
18	Dietary Guidelines on the preponderance of current scientific and medical knowledge.	
19	Federal Funding to State Administered and Private Nonprofit Programs for	
20	Nutrition Assistance and Education is Conditioned Upon	
21	Adherence to Adoption of the Dietary Guidelines	
22	73. Federal law makes funding to states that administer programs for nutrition assistance and	
23	education contingent on adherence to the Dietary Guidelines in meal planning and nutrition	
24	education. States providing meals under the Older Americans Act must provide meals that conform	
25	to the Dietary Guidelines. 42 U.S.C. § 3030g-21(2)(A)(i). The Special Supplemental Nutrition	

Program for Women, Infants, and Children allows states to use federal funding to implement

nutrition education and obesity prevention programs only if the recommendations to prevent

28

26

27

- 1 obesity follow the Dietary Guidelines, 7 U.S.C. § 2036a(b), even though the Dietary Guidelines 2 recommend foods that increase the risk for obesity.
- 3 74. The Dietary Guidelines impose obligations on schools receiving federal funding assistance 4 offered by the National School Lunch Act. One such obligation is that schools must, in every meal, offer students dairy products in the amounts and formulations recommended by the Dietary
- 6 Guidelines. 42 U.S.C. § 1758(a)(2)(A)(i).

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

7 75. States have incorporated the Dietary Guidelines into their own laws. For example, 8 California hospitals must serve meals that conform to the Dietary Guidelines. C.C.R. tit. 15, § 9 1241.

<u>F.</u> **PLAINTIFFS' CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

- 76. The Administrative Procedure Act authorizes a reviewing court to hold unlawful and set aside agency action that is "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).
- 77. Agency action is present when legal consequences, such as the receipt or denial of federal grants, flow from an agency's conduct.
 - 78. Public health laws implemented by Defendants, as well as by other government bodies, mandate, or condition receipt of public funding on, adherence to the Dietary Guidelines.
- 79. Such laws give legal consequence to the substantive terms of the Dietary Guidelines issued by Defendants.
- 80. Defendants' failure to reflect the preponderance of current scientific and medical knowledge in the new Dietary Guidelines is arbitrary and capricious in that such failure subverts Defendant
- 22 Vilsack's child nutrition programs, Defendant Vilsack's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance
- 23 Program, and Defendant Becerra's Older Americans Act Nutrition Program, among other statutorily created programs. 24
- 25 81. Defendants' intentional use of inconsistent language and inaccessible, biochemical terminology throughout the Dietary Guidelines to hide the ill effects of consuming meat and dairy 26 is similarly arbitrary and capricious. 27

1	82. Defendants' failure to overcome their conflicts of interest in issuing the Dietary Guidelines		
2	is similarly arbitrary and capricious.		
3	83. Plaintiffs challenge the Dietary Guidelines as enacted and, separately, as made		
4	automatically binding with legal consequences that flow to agencies and individuals through the		
5	network of mandates that adhere to the substance of the Dietary Guidelines.		
6	RELIEF REQUESTED		
7	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:		
8	Declare that Defendants violated the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §		
9	706(2)(A), by issuing dietary guidelines that are not based on the preponderance of current		
10	scientific and medical knowledge;		
11	Order Defendants to withdraw those portions of the Dietary Guidelines that use		
12	inconsistent language to hide the ill effects of consuming meat and dairy and reissue such portions		
13	with healthful recommendations based on the preponderance of current scientific and medical		
14	knowledge;		
15	Order Defendants to remove those statements in the Dietary Guidelines that		
16	proclaim healthy dietary patterns must feature dairy products;		
17	Order Defendants to withdraw portions of the Dietary Guidelines that do not include		
18	"Bean, Peas, Lentils" as acceptable protein sources and reissue such portions with healthful		
19	recommendations based on the preponderance of current scientific and medical knowledge; and		
20	Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
21	Respectfully submitted,		
22	Dated: April 28, 2021 EVANS & PAGE		
23	By: /s/ Corey Page		
24	Corey Page, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs		
25			
26			
27			
28			